

ATTESTATION FORM ATTACHMENT J

Respondent Name:

Johns Hopkins University

1.0 Mandatory Submissions and Requirements: Disagreement with these items may result in the response being disqualified.

Attachment J: Attestation Form	√ Have completed in its entirety and submitted
Section 1.10 Pricing	√ Have read and meet this requirement
Section 2.3.6 Mandatory Contract Terms/Clauses	√ Have read and understand this section
Section 3.2 Executive Summary	√ Have completed, signed, and submitted
Section 3.2 Attachment A: Minority and Women Business Enterprise form, IDOA provided certification letter and Subcontractor's signed letter on company letterhead.	√ Have completed, signed, and submitted or <input type="checkbox"/> Opting not to submit
Section 3.2 Attachment A1: Indiana Veteran Owned Small Business form IDOA provided certification letter and Subcontractor's signed letter on company letterhead.	√ Have completed, signed, and submitted or <input type="checkbox"/> Opting not to submit
Section 3.2 Attachment C: Indiana Economic Impact	√ Have read, completed, and submitted
Section 3.2 Attachment D: Cost Proposal (Excel Workbook)	√ Have completed and submitted
Section 3.2 Attachment E: Business Proposal	√ Have completed and submitted
Section 3.2 Attachment F: Technical Proposal	√ Have completed and submitted

2.0 Confirm mutual understanding and submission.

1.15 and 2.1 Confidential Information: The complete list of Confidential and Redacted files is specified in section 4.0 of this attachment.	√ Have read, and submitted or <input type="checkbox"/> Have read, and does not apply to response
2.2.1 Ability and Desire to Supply the Required Products or Services	√ Have read, and agree
2.3.6 Contract Terms/Clauses	<input type="checkbox"/> Confirm Respondent's Legal Representation has read and accepts Sample Contract language. or √ Confirm Respondent's Legal Representation has read, and submitted alternative language per

	Attachment E.
2.6.4. Subcontractors (Additional subcontractors/those not submitted in Attachment A/Attachment A1)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Have read, agree, listed subcontractors in 5.0 of this attachment and submitted documents or <input type="checkbox"/> Have read, and does not apply to response

3.0 Claim clarification

2.6.3 Buy Indiana Initiative/Indiana Company	<input type="checkbox"/> YES claiming (points only awarded if finalized per Buy Indiana registry) or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO, not claiming
--	---

4.0 Confidential / Redacted File: confirm submission if applicable

More rows may be inserted if necessary

Responses must include the following required information:

- List all documents or sections of documents, for which statutory exemption to APRA;
- Specify which statutory exception of APRA applies for each document or section of the document;
- Provide a description explaining how the statutory exception to the APRA applies for each document or section of the document; and
- Provide a separate redacted or confidential, whichever is applicable, version of the document. File name should use the following format:
 - o (insert rfp #)_(insert Att letter)_CONFIDENTIAL
 - o (insert rfp #)_(insert Att letter)_REDACTED
- More rows may be inserted if necessary

Filename	Document Section	Document Page #	Statutory exception reference	Rationale for application of the statute	Submitted
24-75844_Att E_REDACTED	2.3.10	8, 9, 10	Indiana access to public records Code section 5-14-3-4(a)(4)	Portions of the proposals have been redacted in accordance with the Indiana Access to Public Records pursuant to Indiana Code section 5-14-3-4(a)(4) which exempts trade secrets	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
24-75844_Att F_REDACTED	3.1.2	6, 9, 11,			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	3.1.4, 3.1.8, 3.1.9, 1.2.5, 6.1.1, 6.1.2, 6.1.3, 6.1.4,	13, 23-44 50-65 67-68 70-73			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

	7.1.4, 7.1.5, 7.1.8, 7.1.9, 7.1.10, 7.1.11, 2.1.a.1.a, 2.1.a.1.b, 2.2.a.2, 2.3.a.3, 2.4.a.3, 2.4.a.4, 2.6.a.4, 2.10.b.1, 3.1, 3.4, 3.9, 3.11			from disclosure. This refers to information disclosed to an agency or required by an agency to be disclosed to it, generally recognized as confidential or proprietary, which if openly disclosed would permit an unfair commercial economic advantage to competitors. We redacted portions of the proposals that would cause an unfair competitive advantage as a result of a competitor receiving proprietary information and data about the assessment, technologies, and professional development, including tabular cost data. We redacted those portions that described our intellect and detailed information about functions and features that may be unique to our system and programming. Additionally, sharing certain technical information may be used to	
24-75844_Att E_APPENDICE S_REDACTED	Attachment 12	1-4			√
Appendix C - Content Alignment and Blueprints Worksheets_ Redacted	Technical Proposal Appendices	1-5, 10, 11			
Appendix H - Staffing Plan and Resumes_Re dacted	Technical Proposal Appendices	1			√
Appendix I - Y1 ELA_KRA Project Plan_Redacte d	Technical Proposal Appendices	ALL			√
Appendix L - ISPROUT Escalation Protocol	Technical Proposal Appendices	1			

2022-2023_R edacted				reverse-engineer a security breach, so this proprietary information is redacted. As a not-for-profit institution, we do not actively publicly market our products and are competing with large for-profit companies. To be economically competitive, we cannot afford to have our information released publicly.	
Appendix M - Sample Reports_Redacted	Technical Proposal Appendices	ALL			√
Appendix O - Professional Development Plan_Redacted	Technical Proposal Appendices	2-7, 10			√

5.0 Subcontractors per RFP 2.6.4 (additional subcontractors/those not submitted in Attachment

A/Attachment A1)

More rows may be inserted if necessary

Subcontractor Name	Function to be performed	Document Submitted
WestEd 730 Harrison Street, Suite 500, San Francisco, CA 94107 Amount: \$1,619,940	WestEd will provide technical assistance to the Indiana Department of Education and the Center for Technology in Education regarding scoring, reporting, standard setting, and appropriate use of assessment results.	<input type="checkbox"/> Executed contract or √ Letter of Agreement
NoInc 3600 Clipper Mill Rd #401, Baltimore, MD 21211 Amount: \$910,000	No.Inc will provide software development services for the Comprehensive Assessment System.	<input type="checkbox"/> Executed contract or √ Letter of Agreement
BCforward (MBE) 9777 N. College Ave, Indianapolis, IN 46280 Amount: \$146,000	BCforward will provide training and professional development services for this project.	<input type="checkbox"/> Executed contract or √ Letter of Agreement
Briljent, LLC (WBE) 7615 W Jefferson Blvd., Fort Wayne, IN 46804	Briljent will provide training, and professional development services for this project.	<input type="checkbox"/> Executed contract or √ Letter of Agreement

Amount: \$42,299		
The Bingle Research Group (IVOSB) 4721 N. Pennsylvania St., Indianapolis, IN 46205 Amount: \$62,000	The Bingle Research Group will provide teacher training evaluation, surveys, and other evaluation assignments.	<input type="checkbox"/> Executed contract or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Letter of Agreement
		<input type="checkbox"/> Executed contract or <input type="checkbox"/> Letter of Agreement
		<input type="checkbox"/> Executed contract or <input type="checkbox"/> Letter of Agreement

6.0 Respondent additional attachments (OPTIONAL)

More rows may be inserted if necessary.

Filename	RFP Attachment Reference
Business Proposal Attachments.zip	RFP section 2.3 Business Proposal (Page 20-26)
Technical Proposal Appendices.zip	RFP section 2.4 Technical Proposal (Page 26)